Visualizing Future Exergy Flows for the U.S. & World

Atmosphere, Earth and Energy Department Energy and Environment Directorate Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory

Geothermal Energy Utilization Associated

June 12-13, 2007

This work was performed under the auspices of the U.S. Department of Energy by University of California, Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory under contract No. W-7405-Eng-48. UCRL-PRES-230655

Energy versus exergy Quick Overview


- While energy is conserved its exergy content can be destroyed when the energy is converted
- What is exergy?
 - The useful portion of energy that allows us to do work and perform energy services
 - Includes the quality of energy a substance contains in addition to the quantity
 - providing deeper insight into work potential than analyses which only utilize the first law
- We gather exergy in energy-carrying substances called resources
- These resources are converted into forms of energy called carriers
- www.exergy.org

Exergy term first coined by Zoran Rant in 1956


Flowcharts offer comprehensive snapshots linking source, conversion, and end-use



World Energy flows & CO₂ emissions 2005 (IEO 2006) 2030 Reference Case (IEO 2006)




All of our exergy sources—power in TW and exergy in ZJ are drawn to scale



From Wes Hermann, GCEP, 2005


World uses about 15 TW today; solar radiation is 162,000

The sun powers all our renewable exergy




KEY

Fossil fuels are derived from the sun and are finite





Nuclear fuels could last a thousands of years




Fusion Energy

Fission


Energy

Fission and fusion are the future!


Oil is for transportation, coal for electricity, natural gas has multiple uses




Nuclear fission is today's most utilized non-fossil exergy source



Future world energy needs are dependent on population growth



Real crisis: future world exergy needs are strongly dependent on standard of living



Conclusions

- Rapid electrification will continue moving CO₂ emissions from buildings to power plants
- Coal will overtake oil as the largest source of CO₂ emissions
- Industry is projected to exceed all other CO₂ sources combined by 2030 (world as a whole not in the US)
- Deep CO₂ reductions will require Herculean contributions from <u>every</u> technology, even with moderate growth
- If we expect to achieve these reductions, CO₂ needs to peak by 2010 and get to 1990 levels by 2020

Conclusions

- Energy and carbon flow charts were employed to investigate possible endmember 2050 U.S. carbonless energy system configurations naturally integrating particular future demand-driven, source, efficiency and technology scenarios. (*http://eed.llnl.gov/flow/*)
- Major energy efficiency improvements, carbon capture and storage, hydrogen-fueled vehicles/trains/trucks/planes, and implementing V2G with an aggressive renewables/hydrogen program are all needed to affect steep reductions in emissions by 2050—but it is not enough.
- Geothermal energy's role may make significant contributions in electrical generation displacing, say, nuclear energy or other renewables but can only directly reduce carbon emissions when employed to heat/cool residential, industrial and commercial buildings.

Bottom line: besides competing with other renewables to de-carbonize the electrical system—massive application of passive geothermal by 2050 could provide a significant contribution to a carbonless energy system.